



**MACRO-LINKAGES, OIL PRICES AND DEFLATION WORKSHOP**  
**JANUARY 6–9, 2009**

---

## **Bayesian Estimation of GPM with DYNARE**

Michel Juillard

---



## Outline

# Bayesian Estimation of GPM with Dynare

## Macro–Financial Linkages, Oil Prices and Deflation IMF workshop

Michel Juillard

January 6, 2009

1. Introduction to Bayesian estimation
2. Bayesian estimation in Dynare
3. Dealing with nonstationary variables
4. Example: A simple GPM model for the US
5. Dynare macro language
6. Example: A 6–country GPM model

## Introduction to Bayesian estimation

- ▶ Uncertainty and *a priori* knowledge about the model and its parameters are described by prior probabilities
- ▶ Confrontation to the data leads to a revision of these probabilities (posterior probabilities)
- ▶ Point estimates are obtained by minimizing a loss function (analogous to economic decision under uncertainty)
- ▶ Testing and model comparison is done by comparing posterior probabilities

## Bayesian ingredients

- ▶ Choosing prior density
- ▶ Computing posterior mode
- ▶ Simulating posterior distribution
- ▶ Computing point estimates and confidence regions
- ▶ Computing posterior probabilities

## Prior density

$$p(\theta_A|A)$$

where  $A$  represents the model and  $\theta_A$ , the parameters of that model.

The prior density describes *a priori* beliefs, before considering the data.

## Likelihood function

- ▶ Conditional density

$$p(y|\theta_A, A)$$

- ▶ Conditional density for dynamic timeseries models

$$p(\mathbf{Y}_T|\theta_A, A) = p(y_0|\theta_A, A) \prod_{t=1}^T p(y_t|\mathbf{Y}_{t-1}, \theta_A, A)$$

where  $\mathbf{Y}_T$  are the observations until period  $T$

- ▶ Likelihood function

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta_A|\mathbf{Y}_T, A) = p(\mathbf{Y}_T|\theta_A, A)$$

## Marginal density

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{y}|A) &= \int_{\Theta_A} p(\mathbf{y}, \theta_A|A) d\theta_A \\ &= \int_{\Theta_A} p(\mathbf{y}|\theta_A, A) p(\theta_A|A) d\theta_A \end{aligned}$$

## Posterior density

- ▶ Posterior density

$$p(\theta_A|\mathbf{Y}_T, A) = \frac{p(\theta_A|A)p(\mathbf{Y}_T|\theta_A, A)}{p(\mathbf{Y}_T|A)}$$

- ▶ Unnormalized posterior density or posterior density kernel

$$p(\theta_A|\mathbf{Y}_T, A) \propto p(\theta_A|A)p(\mathbf{Y}_T|\theta_A, A)$$

## Posterior predictive density

$$\begin{aligned} p(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}|\mathbf{Y}_T, A) &= \int_{\Theta_A} p(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}, \theta_A | \mathbf{Y}_T, A) d\theta_A \\ &= \int_{\Theta_A} p(\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} | \theta_A, \mathbf{Y}_T, A) p(\theta_A | \mathbf{Y}_T, A) d\theta_A \end{aligned}$$

## Bayes risk function

$$\begin{aligned} R(a) &= E[L(a, \theta)] \\ &= \int_{\Theta_A} L(a, \theta_A) p(\theta_A) d\theta_A \end{aligned}$$

where  $L(a, \theta)$  is the loss function associated with decision  $a$  when parameters take value  $\theta_A$ .

## Estimation

Action: deciding that the estimated value of  $\theta_A$  is  $\tilde{\theta}_A$

- ▶ Point estimate:

$$\hat{\theta}_A = \arg \min_{\tilde{\theta}_A} \int_{\Theta_A} L(\tilde{\theta}_A, \theta_A) p(\theta_A | \mathbf{Y}_T, A) d\theta_A$$

- ▶ Quadratic loss function:

$$\hat{\theta}_A = E(\theta_A | \mathbf{Y}_T, A)$$

- ▶ Zero-one loss function:  $\hat{\theta}_A$  = posterior mode

## Credible sets

$$P(\theta \in C) = \int_C p(\theta) d\theta = 1 - \alpha$$

is a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  credible set for  $\theta$  with respect to  $p(\theta)$ .

A  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  highest probability density (HPD) credible set for  $\theta$  with respect to  $p(\theta)$  is a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  credible set with the property

$$p(\theta_1) \geq p(\theta_2) \quad \forall \theta_1 \in C \text{ and } \forall \theta_2 \in \bar{C}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(h(\theta_A)) &= \int_{\Theta_A} h(\theta_A) p(\theta_A | \mathbf{Y}_T, A) d\theta_A \\ &\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N h(\theta_A^k) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta_A^k$  is drawn from  $p(\theta_A | \mathbf{Y}_T, A)$ .

1. Draw a starting point  $\theta^\circ$  which  $p(\theta) > 0$  from a starting distribution  $p^\circ(\theta)$ .

## Metropolis algorithm (continued)

2. For  $t = 1, 2, \dots$

1. Draw a proposal  $\theta^*$  from a *jumping* distribution

$$J(\theta^* | \theta^{t-1}) = N(\theta^{t-1}, c\Sigma_{\text{mode}})$$

2. Compute the acceptance ratio

$$r = \frac{p(\theta^*)}{p(\theta^{t-1})}$$

3. Set

$$\theta^t = \begin{cases} \theta^* & \text{with probability } \min(r, 1) \\ \theta^{t-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

## In practice ...

- ▶ fix scale factor  $c$  so as to obtain a 25% average acceptance ratio
- ▶ discard first 50% of the draws

## Potential Scale Reduction Factor

If we have simulated  $m$  independant sequences of  $n$  draws, a particular draw of scalar  $\theta$  is noted  $\theta_{ij}$  with  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m$ .

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \frac{n}{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (\bar{\theta}_{\cdot j} - \bar{\theta}_{..})^2 \\ W &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_{ij} - \bar{\theta}_j)^2 \\ \widehat{\text{var}}^+(\theta | \mathbf{Y}_T, A) &= \frac{n-1}{n} W + \frac{1/n}{B} \\ \hat{R} &= \sqrt{\frac{\widehat{\text{var}}^+(\theta | \mathbf{Y}_T, A)}{W}} \end{aligned}$$

## Multivariate PSRF

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V} &= \frac{n-1}{n} W + \left(1 + \frac{1}{m}\right) B/n \\ W &= \frac{1}{m(n-1)} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^n (\theta_{ij} - \bar{\theta}_{\cdot j})(\theta_{ij} - \bar{\theta}_{\cdot j})' \\ B/n &= \frac{1}{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^m (\bar{\theta}_{\cdot j} - \bar{\theta}_{..})(\bar{\theta}_{\cdot j} - \bar{\theta}_{..})' \\ \hat{R}^p &= \frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{m+1}{m} \lambda_1 \end{aligned}$$

$\lambda_1$  is the largest eigenvalue of  $W^{-1}B/n$

## Model comparison

The ratio of posterior probabilities of two models is

$$\frac{P(A_j | \mathbf{Y}_T)}{P(A_k | \mathbf{Y}_T)} = \frac{P(A_j)}{P(A_k)} \frac{p(\mathbf{Y}_T | A_j)}{p(\mathbf{Y}_T | A_k)}$$

In favor of the model  $A_j$  versus the model  $A_k$ :

- ▶ the **prior odds ratio** is  $P(A_j)/P(A_k)$
- ▶ the **Bayes factor** is  $p(\mathbf{Y}_T | A_j)/p(\mathbf{Y}_T | A_k)$
- ▶ the **posterior odds ratio** is  $P(A_j | \mathbf{Y}_T)/P(A_k | \mathbf{Y}_T)$

## Laplace approximation

$$p(\mathbf{Y}_T, A) = \int_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_A} p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_A | \mathbf{Y}_T, A) p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_A | A) d\boldsymbol{\theta}_A$$

$$\hat{p}(\mathbf{Y}_T | A) = (2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}} |\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}^M}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_A^M | \mathbf{Y}_T, A) p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_A^M | A)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\theta}_A^M$  is the posterior mode.

## Geweke (1999) modified harmonic mean

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathbf{Y}_T|A) &= \int_{\boldsymbol{\theta}_A} p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_A|\mathbf{Y}_T, A)p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_A|A)d\boldsymbol{\theta}_A \\ \hat{p}(\mathbf{Y}_T|A) &= \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(\boldsymbol{\theta}_A^{(i)})}{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_A^{(i)}|\mathbf{Y}_T, A)p(\boldsymbol{\theta}_A^{(i)}|A)} \right]^{-1} \\ f(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= p^{-1}(2\pi)^{\frac{k}{2}} |\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \right\} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' \Sigma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\theta} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\theta}}) \leq F_{\chi_k^2(p)}^{-1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Bayesian estimation in Dynare

with  $p$  an arbitrary probability and  $k$ , the number of estimated parameters.

## Priors in DYNARE

NORMAL_PDF	$N(\mu, \sigma)$	$R$
GAMMA_PDF	$G_2(\mu, \sigma, p_3)$	$[p_3, +\infty)$
BETA_PDF	$B(\mu, \sigma, p_3, p_4)$	$[p_3, p_4]$
INV_GAMMA_PDF	$IG_1(\mu, \sigma)$	$R^+$
UNIFORM_PDF	$U(p_3, p_4)$	$[p_3, p_4]$

By default,  $p_3 = 0$ ,  $p_4 = 1$ .

## How to choose priors

- ▶ the shape should be consistent with the domain of definition of the parameter
- ▶ use values obtained in other studies (micro or macro)
- ▶ check the graph of the priors
- ▶ check the implication of your priors by running stoch\_simul with parameters set at prior mean
- ▶ compare moments of endogenous variables in previous simulation with empirical moments of observed variables
- ▶ do sensitivity tests by widening your priors

- ▶ After (log-)linearization around the deterministic steady state, the linear rational expectation model needs to be solved (AIM, Kind and Watson, Klein, Sims)
- ▶ The model can then be written in state space form
- ▶ It is an unobserved component model
- ▶ Its likelihood is computed via the Kalman filter
- ▶ These steps are common to Maximum Likelihood estimation or a Bayesian approach

After solution of a first order approximation of a DSGE model, we obtain a linear dynamic model of the form

$$y_t = \bar{y} + g_y \hat{y}_{t-1}^s + g_u u_t$$

the vector  $\hat{y}_{t-1}^s$  contains the endogenous state variables, the predetermined variables among  $y_t$ , with as many lags as required by the dynamic of the model.

## State space representation (II)

The transition equation describes the dynamics of the state variables:

$$\hat{y}_t^{(1)} = g_y^{(1)} \hat{y}_{t-1}^{(1)} + g_u^{(1)} u_t$$

where  $g_x^{(1)}$  and  $g_u^{(1)}$  are the appropriate submatrices of  $g_x$  and  $g_u$ , respectively.  $y_t^{(1)}$  is the union of the state variables  $y_t^s$ , including all necessary lags, and  $y_t^*$ , the observed variables.

The  $g_y^{(1)}$  matrix can have eigenvalues equal to one.

## Other variables

The variables that are neither predetermined nor observed,  $y_t^{(2)}$ , play no role in the estimation of the parameters, and their filtered or smoothed values can be recovered from the filtered or smoothed values of  $\hat{y}_t^{(1)}$  thanks to the following relationship:

$$\hat{y}_t^{(2)} = g_x^{(2)} \hat{y}_{t-1}^{(1)} + g_u^{(2)} u_t$$

We consider measurement equations of the type

$$y_t^* = \bar{y} + M\hat{y}_t^{(1)} + x_t + \epsilon_t$$

where  $M$  is the selection matrix that recovers  $\hat{y}_t^*$  out of  $\hat{y}_t^{(1)}$ ,  $x_t$  is a deterministic component<sup>1</sup> and  $\epsilon_t$  is a vector of measurement errors.

In addition, we have, the two following covariance matrices:

$$\begin{aligned} E(u_t u_t') &= Q \\ E(\epsilon_t \epsilon_t') &= H \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Currently, Dynare only accommodates linear trends 



## Unit root processes

Dealing with nonstationary variables

- ▶ find a natural representation in the state space form
- ▶ the deterministic components of random walk with drift is better included in the measurement equation

## Initialization of the Kalman filter

- ▶ stationary variables: unconditional mean and variance
- ▶ nonstationary variables: initial point is an additional parameter of the model (De Jong), arbitrary initial point and infinite variance (Durbin and Koopman).
- ▶ Durbin and Koopman strategy: compute the limit of the Kalman filter equations when initial variance tends toward infinity.
- ▶ Problem with cointegrated models.

## The Schur decomposition of the transition matrix

In the transition equation

$$\hat{y}_t^{(1)} = g_x^{(1)} \hat{y}_{t-1}^{(1)} + g_u^{(1)} u_t$$

we propose to perform a reordered real Schur decomposition on transition matrix  $g_x$ :

$$g_x^{(1)} = W \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ 0 & T_{22} \end{bmatrix} W'$$

where  $T_{11}$  and  $T_{22}$  are quasi upper-triangular matrices and  $W$  is an orthogonal matrix. The reordering is such that the absolute value of the eigenvalues of  $T_{11}$  are all equal to 1 while the eigenvalues of  $T_{22}$  are all smaller than 1 in modulus. When there are cointegrating relationships between the state variables, there are obviously less unit roots in the system than the number of nonstationary variables in the model. The dimension of  $T_{11}$  reflects this fact.

## New state space formulation

It is then natural to rewrite the transition equation in transformed variables as

$$W' \hat{y}_t^{(1)} = TW' \hat{y}_{t-1}^{(1)} + W' g_u u_t$$

and the measurement equation as

$$\tilde{y}_t^* = MW' \hat{y}_t^{(1)} + \epsilon_t$$

Note that in this formulation of the state space representation, only the state variables are transformed, structural shocks and measurement errors stay the same as in the original formulation.

## New notations

In what follows, we write the state space model as

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= Za_t + \epsilon_t \\ a_t &= Ta_{t-1} + R\eta_t \\ E(\epsilon_t \epsilon_t') &= H \\ E(\eta_t \eta_t') &= Q \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
y_t &= \tilde{y}_t^* \\
Z &= MW \\
a_t &= W'\hat{y}^{(1)} \\
WTW' &= g_x \\
R &= W'g_u \\
\eta_t &= u_t
\end{aligned}$$

The initial values for the state variables are  $a_0 = 0$ . This is the unconditional mean of the stationary elements in  $a_t$  and has no effects for the nonstationary ones.

Following Durbin and Koopman, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
P_0 &= P_0^\infty + P_0^* \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma_{\tilde{a}} \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $I$  is an identity matrix of the same dimensions as  $T_{11}$ . It corresponds to the diffuse prior on the initial values of the stochastic trends.  $\Sigma_{\tilde{a}}$  is the covariance matrix of the stationary part of  $a_t$ .

## Computation of $\Sigma_{\tilde{a}}$

$\Sigma_{\tilde{a}}$  is the covariance matrix of  $\tilde{a}_t$  with dynamics

$$\tilde{a}_t = T_{12}a_{t-1} + \tilde{R}\eta_t$$

or

$$\Sigma_{\tilde{a}} = T_{12}\Sigma_{\tilde{a}}T_{12}' + \tilde{R}Q\tilde{R}'$$

where  $\tilde{R}$  is the conforming submatrix of  $R$ . As  $T_{12}$  is already quasi upper-triangular, it is only necessary to use part of the usual algorithm for the Lyapunov equation.

## The diffuse step

While  $P_t^\infty$  is different from zero, the filter (and smoother) is in a diffuse step. When  $t > d$ , the procedure falls back on standard recursions.

At  $t = 0$

$$E(a_{1|0}) = P_{1|0} = P_{1|0}^\infty + P_{1|0}^*$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_t^\infty &= ZP_{t|t-1}^\infty Z' \\
F_t^* &= ZP_t^* Z' + H \\
K_t^\infty &= TP_{t|t-1}^\infty Z' (F_t^\infty)^{-1} \\
K_t^* &= T \left( P_{t|t-1}^* Z' (F_t^\infty)^{-1} - P_{t|t-1}^\infty Z' (F_t^\infty)^{-1} F_t^* (F_t^\infty)^{-1} \right) \\
v_t &= y_t - Za_{t|t-1} \\
a_{t+1|t} &= Ta_{t|t-1} + K_t^\infty v_t \\
P_{t+1|t}^\infty &= TP_{t|t-1}^\infty (T' - Z' K_t^{\infty'}) \\
P_{t+1|t}^* &= -TP_{t|t-1}^\infty Z' K_t^{*\prime} + TP_{t|t-1}^* (T' - Z' K_t^{\infty'}) + RQR'
\end{aligned}$$

where  $a_{t|t-1} = E_{t-1}a_t$ .

The log-likelihood is given by

$$-\frac{nT}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \ln |F_t| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T v_t' F_t^{-1} v_t$$

## A simple GPM model for the US

Example: A simple GPM model for the US

```

var
GROWTH_US GROWTH4_US GROWTH_BAR_US GROWTH4_BAR_US
RS_US DRS_US RR_US RR_BAR_US
PIETAR_US PIE_US PIE4_US LCP1_US E1_PIE_US E4_PIE4_US
LGDP_US LGDP_BAR_US G_US Y_US E1_Y_US
UNR_US UNR_GAP_US UNR_BAR_US UNR_G_US
E_US E2_US BLT_US BLT_BAR_US;

varexo
RES_RS_US RES_RR_BAR_US RES_PIETAR_US RES_PIE_US RES_G_US RES_LGDP_BAR_US
RES_Y_US RES_UNR_GAP_US RES_UNR_BAR_US RES_UNR_G_US
RES_BLT_US RES_BLT_BAR_US;

parameters
gamma1_US gamma2_US gamma3_US gamma4_US
lambda1_RS_US rho_US rr_bar_US_ss
lambda1_US lambda2_US lambda3_US
tau_US growth_US_ss
beta1_US beta2_US beta3_US beta_fact_US beta_reergap_US
alpha1_US alpha2_US alpha3_US
kappa_US theta_US;

```

## (continued)

```

beta_fact_US=0.0241;
beta_reergap_US=0.0423;
alpha1_US=0.8235;
alpha2_US=0.1823;
alpha3_US=0.3649;
beta1_US=0.6549;
beta2_US=0.0694;
beta3_US=0.1866;
gamma1_US=0.7107;
gamma2_US=0.9104;
gamma4_US=0.2052;
growth_US_ss=2.2729;
kappa_US=20.0773;
lambda1_US=0.848;
lambda1_RS_US=0;
lambda2_US=0.1801;
lambda3_US=0.0707;
pietar_US_ss=2.5;
rho_US=0.2901;
rr_bar_US_ss=1.7285;
tau_US=0.0274;
theta_US=1.0708;

```

## (continued)

```

model(linear);
GROWTH_US = 4*(LGDP_US-LGDP_US(-1)) ;
GROWTH4_US = LGDP_US-LGDP_US(-4) ;
GROWTH_BAR_US = 4*(LGDP_BAR_US-LGDP_BAR_US(-1)) ;
GROWTH4_BAR_US = LGDP_BAR_US-LGDP_BAR_US(-4) ;
RS_US = gamma1_US*RS_US(-1)+(1-gamma1_US)*(RR_BAR_US+PIE4_US(+3)
+gamma2_US*(PIE4_US(+3)-PIETAR_US)+gamma4_US*Y_US)+RES_RS_US ;
DRS_US = RS_US-RS_US(-1) ;
RR_US = RS_US-PIE_US(+1) ;
RR_BAR_US = rho_US*rr_bar_US_ss+(1-rho_US)*RR_BAR_US(-1)+RES_RR_BAR_US ;
PIETAR_US = PIETAR_US(-1)-RES_PIETAR_US ;
PIE_US = lambda1_US*PIE4_US(+4)+(1-lambda1_US)*PIE4_US(-1)
+lambda2_US*Y_US(-1)-RES_PIE_US ;
LCPI_US = LCPI_US(-1)+PIE_US/4 ;
PIE4_US = (PIE_US+PIE_US(-1)+PIE_US(-2)+PIE_US(-3))/4 ;
E4_PIE4_US = PIE4_US(+4) ;
E1_PIE_US = PIE_US(+1) ;
LGDP_BAR_US = LGDP_BAR_US(-1)+G_US/4+RES_LGDP_BAR_US ;
G_US = tau_US*growth_US_ss+(1-tau_US)*G_US(-1)+RES_G_US ;
E1_Y_US = Y_US(+1) ;
Y_US = LGDP_US-LGDP_BAR_US ;

```

## (continued)

```

UNR_GAP_US = alpha1_US*UNR_GAP_US(-1)+alpha2_US*Y_US+RES_UNR_GAP_US ;
UNR_GAP_US = UNR_BAR_US-UNR_US ;
UNR_BAR_US = UNR_BAR_US(-1)+UNR_G_US+RES_UNR_BAR_US ;
UNR_G_US = (1-alpha3_US)*UNR_G_US(-1)+RES_UNR_G_US ;

E_US = -RES_BLT_US ;
BLT_US = BLT_BAR_US-kappa_US*Y_US(+4)-RES_BLT_US ;
BLT_BAR_US = BLT_BAR_US(-1)+RES_BLT_BAR_US ;
E2_US = theta_US*(0.04*(E_US(-1)+E_US(-9))+0.08*(E_US(-2)+E_US(-8))
+0.12*(E_US(-3)+E_US(-7))+0.16*(E_US(-4)+E_US(-6))+0.2*E_US(-5)) ;
Y_US = beta1_US*Y_US(-1)+beta2_US*Y_US(+1)-beta3_US*(RR_US(-1)-RR_BAR_US(-1))
+beta_fact_US*FACT_US+beta_reergap_US*(REER_T_US(-1)-REER_T_BAR_US(-1))
-theta_US*(0.04*(E_US(-1)+E_US(-9))+0.08*(E_US(-2)+E_US(-8))
+0.12*(E_US(-3)+E_US(-7))+0.16*(E_US(-4)+E_US(-6))+0.2*E_US(-5))+RES_Y_US ;
end;

```

## (continued)

```

shocks;
var RES_RS_US; stderr 0.7;
var RES_RR_BAR_US; stderr 0.2;
var RES_PIETAR_US; stderr 0;
var RES_PIE_US; stderr 0.7;
var RES_G_US; stderr 0.1;
var RES_LGDP_BAR_US; stderr 0.1;
var RES_Y_US; stderr 0.25;
var RES_UNR_GAP_US; stderr 0.2;
var RES_UNR_BAR_US; stderr 0.1;
var RES_UNR_G_US; stderr 0.1;
var RES_BLT_US; stderr 0.1;
var RES_BLT_BAR_US; stderr 0.1;
end;

check;

stoch_simul;

```

(continued)

```
estimated_params;
alpha1_US,beta_pdf,0.8,0.1;
alpha2_US,gamma_pdf,0.3,0.2;
alpha3_US,beta_pdf,0.5,0.2;
beta1_US,gamma_pdf,0.75,0.1;
beta2_US,beta_pdf,0.15,0.1;
beta3_US,gamma_pdf,0.2,0.0500;
gamma1_US,beta_pdf,0.5,0.0500;
gamma2_US,gamma_pdf,1.5,0.3000;
gamma4_US,gamma_pdf,0.2,0.0500;
growth_US_ss,normal_pdf,2.5,0.2500;
kappa_US,gamma_pdf,20.000,0.5000;
lambda1_US,beta_pdf,0.5,0.1;
lambda2_US,gamma_pdf,0.25,0.05;
lambda3_US,gamma_pdf,0.120,0.0500;
rho_US,beta_pdf,0.9,0.05;
rr_bar_US_ss,normal_pdf,2.000,0.3000;
tau_US,beta_pdf,0.1,0.05;
theta_US,gamma_pdf,1.000,0.5000;

stderr RES_BLT_BAR_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.200,Inf;
stderr RES_BLT_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.400,Inf;
stderr RES_G_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.100,Inf;
stderr RES_LGDP_BAR_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.1,Inf;
stderr RES_PIE_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.700,Inf;
stderr RES_RR_BAR_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.200,Inf;
stderr RES_RS_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.700,Inf;
stderr RES_UNR_BAR_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.100,Inf;
stderr RES_UNR_G_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.100,Inf;
stderr RES_UNR_GAP_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.200,Inf;
stderr RES_Y_US,inv_gamma_pdf,0.250,Inf;
corr RES_BLT_US,RES_G_US,beta_pdf,0.650,0.0500;
corr RES_LGDP_BAR_US,RES_PIE_US,beta_pdf,0.100,0.0300;
end;
```

(continued)

```
varobs UNR_US LGDP_US LCPI_US RS_US BLT_US;
observation_trends;
LGDP_US (growth_US_ss/4);
end;

estimation(datafile=data6ctryCORE94,mh_replic=0,diffuse_filter);
```

Dynare macro language

## Macro language

It extends the language of MOD files by adding "macro" commands for doing the following tasks: source file inclusion, replicating blocks of equations through loops, conditional inclusion of code...

Technically, this macro language is totally independent of the basic Dynare language, and is processed by a separate component of the Dynare pre-processor. The macro processor transforms a MOD file with macros into a MOD file without macros (doing expansions/inclusions), and then feeds it to the Dynare parser. The advantage of such a design choice is to clearly separate the macro language from the rest of the language, which gives a simpler language semantics and a simpler code.

## Directives

All directives begin with an at-sign followed by a pound sign (@#) and occupy exactly one line. However, a directive can be continued on next line by adding two anti-slashes (\ \ ) at the end of the line to be continued.

A directive produces no output, but serves to give instructions to the macro processor.

## Variables

The macro processor maintains its own list of variables.

Variables can be of four types:

- ▶ integer
- ▶ string
- ▶ array of integers
- ▶ array of strings

## Expressions

It is possible to construct expressions, using the following operators:

- ▶ on integers:
  - ▶ arithmetic operators (., \*, /, +)
  - ▶ comparison operators (<, >, <=, >=, ==, !=)
  - ▶ logical operators (&&, ||, !)
  - ▶ inclusion operator (in)
- ▶ on strings:
  - ▶ comparison operators (==, !=)
  - ▶ inclusion operator (in)
  - ▶ concatenation (+)
  - ▶ extraction of substrings (if s is a string, then one can write s[3] or s[4:6])

## Expressions (continued)

### ▶ on arrays:

- ▶ dereferencing (if `v` is an array, then `v[2]` is its 2nd element)
- ▶ concatenation (+)
- ▶ difference (-): returns the first operand from which the elements of the second operand have been removed
- ▶ extraction of sub-arrays (with `v[4:6]`)
- ▶ shortcut for integer ranges (1:5 is equivalent to [1,2,3,4,5])

Expressions can be used at two places:

- ▶ inside macro directives, directly
- ▶ outside macro directives, between an at-sign and curly braces, like: `@{expr}`. The macro processor will substitute the expression with its value

## Define directive

The value of a variable can be defined with the `@#define` directive.

Isolated examples:

```
@#define x = 5
#@define y = "foo"
#@define v = [ 1, 2, 4 ]
#@define w = [ "foo", "bar" ]
#@define z = 3+v[2]
```

## Integrated example

```
@#define x = [ "B" , "C" ]
#@define i = 1
```

```
model;
  A = @{x[i]};
end;
```

Is equivalent to:

```
model;
  A = B;
end;
```

## Inclusion directive

This directive simply includes the content of another file at the place where it is inserted.

```
@#include "modelcomponent.mod"
```

It is possible to include a file from an included file (nested includes).

## Loop directive

Loops are constructed with the following syntax

```
model;
@#for country in ["home", "foreign"]
    GDP_@{country} = K_@{country}^a * L_@{country}^(1-a)
@#endfor
end;
```

Is equivalent to:

```
model;
    GDP_home = K_home^a * L_home^(1-a);
    GDP_foreign = K_foreign^a * L_foreign^(1-a);
end;
```

## Conditional inclusion directives

The syntax is either:

```
@#if integer_expression
...body if expression = 1...
@endif
```

or:

```
@#if integer_expression
...body if expression = 1...
@endif
@#else
...body if expression = 0...
@endif
```

## Echo and error directives

It is possible to ask the macro processor to display a message on standard output:

```
@#echo "message"
```

It is also possible to ask the macro processor to fail with a message (only useful inside a conditional inclusion directive).

```
@#error "message"
```

## Saving the macro-expanded MOD file

It is possible to save the output of macro-expansion, using the `savemacro` option on the Dynare command line. It can be useful for debugging purposes.

If MOD file is `filename.mod`, then the macro-expanded version will be saved in `filename-macroexp.mod`.

# A 6–country GPM model

## Example: A 6–country GPM model

```
    //*** list of countries
#define countries = ["EA", "EU", "JA", "LA", "RC", "US"]

    //*** variables and parameters declarations
#define for c in countries
    var
        GROWTH_@{c} GROWTH4_@{c} GROWTH_BAR_@{c} GROWTH4_BAR_@{c}
        RS_@{c} DRS_@{c} RR_@{c} RR_BAR_@{c} RESN_RS_@{c} DOT_REER_M_BAR_@{c}
        PIETAR_@{c} PIE_@{c} PIE4_@{c} LCPI_@{c} E1_PIE_@{c} E4_PIE4_@{c}
        LGDP_@{c} LGDP_BAR_@{c} G_@{c} Y_@{c} E1_Y_@{c}
        REER_M_@{c} REER_M_BAR_@{c} REER_T_@{c} REER_T_BAR_@{c} FACT_@{c};

    varexo
        RES_RS_@{c} RES_RR_BAR_@{c} RES_PIETAR_@{c} RES_PIE_@{c} RES_G_@{c} RES_LGDP_BAR_@{c}
        RES_Y_@{c};

    parameters
        gammal_@{c} gamma2_@{c} gamma3_@{c} gamma4_@{c}
        lambdaL_RS_@{c} rho_@{c} rr_bar_@{c}_ss
        lambdaL_@{c} lambda2_@{c} lambda3_@{c}
        tau_@{c} growth_@{c}_ss
        betal_@{c} beta2_@{c} beta3_@{c} beta_fact_@{c} beta_reergap_@{c};
```

(continued)

(continued)

```
#if c == "EU" || c == "JA" || c == "US"
var UNR_@{c} UNR_GAP_@{c} UNR_BAR_@{c} UNR_G_@{c};
varexo RES_UNR_GAP_@{c} RES_UNR_BAR_@{c} RES_UNR_G_@{c};
parameters alpha1_@{c} alpha2_@{c} alpha3_@{c};
#endif

#if c == "US"
var E_@{c} E2_@{c} BLT_@{c} BLT_BAR_@{c};
varexo RES_BLT_@{c} RES_BLT_BAR_@{c};
parameters kappa_@{c} theta_@{c};
#endif

#if c != "US"
var LS_@{c} LZ_@{c} LZ_BAR_@{c} DOT_LZ_BAR_@{c} LZ_E_@{c} LZ_GAP_@{c};
varexo RES_RR_DIFF_@{c} RES_LZ_BAR_@{c} RES_DOT_LZ_BAR_@{c};
parameters chi_@{c} phi_@{c} dot_lz_bar_@{c}_ss;
#endif

parameters
#define for cl in countries
    #if cl != c
        imp_@{c}_@{cl} trade_@{c}_@{cl} exp_@{c}_@{cl}
    #endif
#define endfor
;
#define endfor

#define if "EA" in countries
    #include "parameter_values_EA.mod"
#define endif

#define if "EU" in countries
    #include "parameter_values_EU.mod"
#define endif

#define if "JA" in countries
    #include "parameter_values_JA.mod"
#define endif
```

## (continued)

```

@if "LA" in countries
    #include "parameter_values_LA.mod"
#endif

@if "RC" in countries
    #include "parameter_values_RC.mod"
#endif

@if "US" in countries
    #include "parameter_values_US.mod"
#endif

```



## (continued)

```

model(linear);
#for c in countries
    GROWTH_@{c} = 4*(LGDP_@{c}-LGDP_@{c}(-1)) ;
    GROWTH4_@{c} = LGDP_@{c}-LGDP_@{c}(-4) ;
    GROWTH_BAR_@{c} = 4*(LGDP_BAR_@{c}-LGDP_BAR_@{c}(-1)) ;
    GROWTH4_BAR_@{c} = LGDP_BAR_@{c}-LGDP_BAR_@{c}(-4) ;
    RS_@{c} = gammal_@{c}*RS_@{c}(-1)+(1-gammal_@{c})*(RR_BAR_@{c}+PIE4_@{c})(+3)
        +gamma2_@{c}*(PIE4_@{c})(+3)-PIETAR_@{c})+gamma4_@{c}*Y_@{c})+RESN_RS_@{c} ;
    RESN_RS_@{c} = lambda1_RS_@{c}*RESN_RS_@{c}(-1)+RES_RS_@{c} ;
    DRS_@{c} = RS_@{c}-RS_@{c}(-1) ;
    RR_@{c} = RS_@{c}-PIE_@{c}(+1) ;
    RR_BAR_@{c} = rho_@{c}*rr_bar_@{c}_ss+(1-rho_@{c})*RR_BAR_@{c}(-1)+RES_RR_BAR_@{c} ;
    PIETAR_@{c} = PIETAR_@{c}(-1)-RES_PIETAR_@{c} ;
    PIE_@{c} = lambda1_@{c}*PIE4_@{c}(+4)+(1-lambda1_@{c})*PIE4_@{c}(-1)
        +lambda2_@{c}*Y_@{c}(-1)+lambda3_@{c}*(REER_M_@{c}-REER_M_@{c}(-1)
        -DOT_REER_M_BAR_@{c}/4)-RES_PIE_@{c} ;
    LCPI_@{c} = LCPI_@{c}(-1)+PIE_@{c}/4 ;
    PIE4_@{c} = (PIE_@{c}+PIE_@{c}(-1)+PIE_@{c}(-2)+PIE_@{c}(-3))/4 ;
    E4_PIE4_@{c} = PIE4_@{c}(+4) ;
    E1_PIE_@{c} = PIE_@{c}(+1) ;

```



## (continued)

```

LGDP_BAR_@{c} = LGDP_BAR_@{c}(-1)+G_@{c}/4+RES_LGDP_BAR_@{c} ;
G_@{c} = tau_@{c}*growth_@{c}_ss+(1-tau_@{c})*G_@{c}(-1)+RES_G_@{c} ;
E1_Y_@{c} = Y_@{c}(+1) ;
Y_@{c} = LGDP_@{c}-LGDP_BAR_@{c} ;
DOT_REER_M_BAR_@{c} = 4*(REER_M_BAR_@{c}-REER_M_BAR_@{c}(-1)) ;

@if c == "EU" || c == "JA" || c == "US"
    UNR_GAP_@{c} = alpha1_@{c}*UNR_GAP_@{c}(-1)+alpha2_@{c}*Y_@{c}+RES_UNR_GAP_@{c} ;
    UNR_GAP_@{c} = UNR_BAR_@{c}-UNR_@{c} ;
    UNR_BAR_@{c} = UNR_BAR_@{c}(-1)+UNR_G_@{c}+RES_UNR_BAR_@{c} ;
    UNR_G_@{c} = (1-alpha3_@{c})*UNR_G_@{c}(-1)+RES_UNR_G_@{c} ;
#endif

@if c == "US"
    E_@{c} = -RES_BLT_@{c} ;
    BLT_@{c} = BLT_BAR_@{c}-kappa_@{c}*Y_@{c}(+4)-RES_BLT_@{c} ;
    BLT_BAR_@{c} = BLT_BAR_@{c}(-1)+RES_BLT_BAR_@{c} ;
    E2_@{c} = theta_@{c}*(0.04*(E_@{c}(-1)+E_@{c}(-9))+0.08*(E_@{c})(-2)+E_@{c}(-8))
        +0.12*(E_@{c}(-3)+E_@{c}(-7))+0.16*(E_@{c}(-4)+E_@{c}(-6))+0.2E_@{c}(-5)) ;
    Y_@{c} = beta1_@{c}*Y_@{c}(-1)+beta2_@{c}*Y_@{c}(+1)-beta3_@{c}*(RR_@{c}(-1)
        -RR_BAR_@{c}(-1))+beta_fact_@{c}*FACT_@{c}+beta_reergap_@{c}*(REER_T_@{c}(-1)
        -REER_T_BAR_@{c}(-1))-theta_@{c}*(0.04*(E_@{c}(-1)+E_@{c}(-9))
        +0.08*(E_@{c}(-2)+E_@{c}(-8))+0.12*(E_@{c}(-3)+E_@{c}(-7))
        +0.16*(E_@{c}(-4)+E_@{c}(-6))+0.2E_@{c}(-5))+RES_Y_@{c} ;
#else
    Y_@{c} = beta1_@{c}*Y_@{c}(-1)+beta2_@{c}*Y_@{c}(+1)-beta3_@{c}*(RR_@{c}(-1)
        -RR_BAR_@{c}(-1))+beta_fact_@{c}*FACT_@{c}+beta_reergap_@{c}*(REER_T_@{c}(-1)
        -REER_T_BAR_@{c}(-1))+RES_Y_@{c} ;
#endif

```



```

@#if c != "US"
    RR_@{c}-RR_US = 4*(LZ_E_@{c}-LZ_@{c})+RR_BAR_@{c}-RR_BAR_US-DOT_LZ_BAR_@{c}
        +RES_RR_DIFF_@{c} ;
    LS_@{c} = LZ_@{c}+LCPI_@{c}-LCPI_US ;
    LZ_BAR_@{c} = LZ_BAR_@{c}(-1)+DOT_LZ_BAR_@{c}/4+RES_LZ_BAR_@{c} ;
    DOT_LZ_BAR_@{c} = chi_@{c}*dot_lz_bar_@{c}_ss+(1-chi_@{c})*DOT_LZ_BAR_@{c}(-1)
        +RES_DOT_LZ_BAR_@{c} ;
    LZ_E_@{c} = phi_@{c}*LZ_@{c}(+1)+(1-phi_@{c})*(LZ_@{c}(-1)+2*DOT_LZ_BAR_@{c}/4) ;
    LZ_GAP_@{c} = LZ_@{c}-LZ_BAR_@{c} ;
#endif

REER_M_@{c} =
    @#for cl in countries
        @#if cl != c
            +imp_@{c}_@{cl}*
                @#if c != "US"
                    LZ_@{c}
                @#endif
                @#if cl != "US"
                    -LZ_@{cl}
                @#endif
            )
        @#endif
    @#endfor
;

```



## (continued)

```
REER_M_BAR_@{c} =
@if cl in countries
@if cl != c
+imp_@{c}_@{cl}*( 
@if c != "US"
LZ_BAR_@{c}
#endiff
@if cl != "US"
-LZ_BAR_@{cl}
#endiff
)
#endiff
#endiff
;
REER_T_@{c} =
@if cl in countries
@if cl != c
+trade_@{c}_@{cl}*( 
@if c != "US"
LZ_@{c}
#endiff
@if cl != "US"
-LZ_@{cl}
#endiff
)
#endiff
#endiff
;

```

## (continued)

```
REER_T_BAR_@{c} =
@if cl in countries
@if cl != c
+trade_@{c}_@{cl}*( 
@if c != "US"
LZ_BAR_@{c}
#endiff
@if cl != "US"
-LZ_BAR_@{cl}
#endiff
)
#endiff
#endiff
;
FACT_@{c} =
@if cl in countries
@if cl != c
+exp_@{c}_@{cl}*Y_@{cl}(-1)
#endiff
#endiff
;
@#endfor
end;
check;
```

## (continued)

```
estimated_params;

@if "EA" in countries
#@include "estimated_params_EA.mod"
#endiff

@if "EU" in countries
#@include "estimated_params_EU.mod"
#endiff

@if "JA" in countries
#@include "estimated_params_JA.mod"
#endiff

@if "LA" in countries
#@include "estimated_params_LA.mod"
#endiff

@if "RC" in countries
#@include "estimated_params_RC.mod"
#endiff

@if "US" in countries
#@include "estimated_params_US.mod"
#endiff

end;
```

```
@#for c in countries
varobs RS_@{c} LGDP_@{c} LCPI_@{c};
@if c == "EU" || c == "JA" || c == "US"
varobs UNR_@{c};
#endiff
@if c == "US"
varobs BLT_@{c};
#endiff
@endfor

observation_trends;
#@for c in countries
LGDP_@{c} (growth_@{c}_ss/4);
@endfor

end;

estimation(datafile=data6ctryCORE94,mh_replc=0,diffuse_filter);
```